

New Mexico APG Update -- Phase 1 Evaluation Criteria for Consulting Team Selection

	Evaluation Criterion	What Evaluators Look For	Maximum Possible Score (Points)
1	Understanding of Project Purpose and Scope	Demonstrated understanding of the APG update, Phase 1 objectives, statutory context, and the Scope of Work.	80
2	Relevant School Facility Planning Experience	Demonstrated national experience in public school facility planning, educational programming, adequacy standards, space planning, or comparable projects.	160
3	Experience with Standards, Planning Tools, and Guidance Documents	Experience developing or evaluating facility standards, planning guides, space allocation methods, adequacy criteria, or similar planning or decision-support tools.	120
4	Technical Approach to Existing Conditions Review (Task B)	A clear, organized approach to reviewing the APG, Statewide Adequacy Standards, GSF Calculator, and prior stakeholder input. A clear approach to identifying gaps and key issues.	100
5	Technical Approach to Best Practices and Emerging Educational Programs (Task C)	A sound methodology and team expertise for researching and synthesizing national best practices for emerging educational programs, prototype schools, cost control, energy efficiency, climate resilience, site planning, school transportation and access, security, and other specialized topics requiring multidisciplinary expertise, and evaluating their applicability to New Mexico's public schools.	150
6	Technical Approach to APG Facility and Campus Space-Type Evaluation (Task D)	A clear methodology for evaluating existing space types, identifying needed revisions or additions, and relating findings to educational programs, adequacy standards, and the GSF Calculator.	130
7	Project Team Qualifications and Specialized Expertise	Qualified project manager and key personnel, with appropriate team organization, availability, and expertise in the required technical disciplines.	110
8	Project Management and Quality Control	A realistic work plan, schedule, coordination, communication, quality-control procedures, risk management approach, and ability to deliver complete, accurate work products in a timely manner.	80
9	Relevant Past Performance	Successful completion of comparable projects, demonstrated technical competence, responsiveness, schedule performance, and favorable client references or project outcomes.	40
10	Cost Proposal and Value	A reasonable, well-supported cost proposal aligned with the scope, staffing, level of effort, and overall value to PSFA.	30
			1,000